UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,766	11/10/2003	Larry B. Pearson	1033-MS1016	4375
60533 TOLER LAW (7590 05/14/200 GROUP	8	EXAMINER	
8500 BLUFFST		AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S		
	SUITE A201 AUSTIN, TX 78759			PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/705,766	PEARSON, LARRY B.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	RASHA S. AL AUBAIDI	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Fe</u>	ebruary 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
·=	_					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	αιστι πρριισαιιστι				

Application/Control Number: 10/705,766 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This in response to a notice of Appeal filed 12/12/2007. No claims have been added. No claims have been canceled. No claims have been amended. Claims 1-25 are still pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaPierre et al. (US PAT # 6,738,466) in view of Nguyen et al. (PGPUB US 2006/0104434).

Regarding claim 1, LaPierre teaches a method of providing a distinctive call tone based on a redirecting number (see col. 2, lines 38-42), the method comprising: receiving a call from an originating device (reads on telephone station 110, Fig. 2, see col. 4, lines 30-31) at a redirecting device (reads on telephone station 112, see col. 4, lines 36-39); forwarding the call from the redirecting device to a destination device, the forwarded call having an associated data message that includes a calling number of the origination device, a called number of the destination device, and a redirecting number

Art Unit: 2614

of the redirecting device; and applying a distinctive types of call tones to the destination device based upon the redirecting number.

LaPierre features are all addressed in the above rejection. LaPierre does not specifically teach applying a distinctive ring/tone to a call waiting. However, LaPierre discloses applying a distinctive ring to a call forwarding feature (i.e., when a call is redirected and forwarded). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a distinctive ring to "call waiting" feature, which is equivalent to applying a distinctive ring to a "call forwarding feature". The advantage of having a distinctive ring to either one or both of these features is to identify specific caller or specific number with that ring.

Also, LaPierre does not specifically teaches, "applying one of a plurality of a distinctive types".

However, Nguyen teaches in a system and method for caller control of a ring that a plurality of distinctive rings can be applied and corresponded to a plurality of a directory numbers [see paragraph 0004].

Therefor, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of applying and assigning one distinctive call ring from a plurality of distinctive types, as taught by Nguyen, into the

LaPierre system in order to provide convince by identifying the one unique distinctive ring that is associated with certain redirecting number.

Claims 2 and 11-12 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1.

Regarding claims 3, 8, 9 and 13 "the redirecting number is compared to a set of authorized numbers in a distinctive call waiting tone activation list and wherein the distinctive type of call waiting tone is applied when the redirecting number is found within the set of authorized numbers", see LaPierre col. 4, lines 4-24.

Regarding claims 4, 10, 14 and 21 recite "the associated data message compatible with an SS7 compatible network" (see LaPierre col. 3, lines 17-20).

Regarding claims 5-6 and 15-16, LaPierre system was implemented in an Advanced Intelligent Network (AIN). LaPierre does not specifically teach the method is implemented in VOIP or a PBX system. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the method implemented in any system desired system. This is a design choice relies on the need and the desire of Applicant. See LaPierre (col. 5, lines 63-67 and col. 6, lines 1-9).

For claim 7 limitations, see LaPierre col. 4, lines 49-63.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,766 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

Claim 17 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. For the claimed intelligent network system, see LaPierre col. 1, lines 6-10, col. 2, lines 38-67, col. 3, and col. 4 and Fig. 1.

Claims 19 and 24 recite "the service switching point applies a distinctive call waiting tone to the destination subscriber communication device in response to evaluating the contents of the field to identify activation of the distinctive call waiting feature". See LaPierre col. 4, lines 64-67.

Claims 20 and 25 recite "the service switching point receives a call prior to sending the request message to the switching control point". See col. LaPierre 4, lines 40-42.

Claims 18, 22-23 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. The claimed "logic module" reads on the service package application (SPA), see col. 4, lines 49-57.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-25 have been considered and have been found not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,766 Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

After the thorough review for Applicant's Remarks, it is noted that Applicant main argument was directed to the feature of "applying a distinctive tone to call waiting" which was not addressed in the previous Final office action mailed 10/01/2007. However, The Examiner now believes that this limitation and all another limitations are properly addressed in the above rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rasha S. AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/705,766

Page 7

Art Unit: 2614

/Rasha S AL-Aubaidi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614